

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/680,986	10/680,986 10/08/2003 Derek Owen		60,130-1891;03MRA0488	6481
26096 7	590 09/22/2005		EXAMINER	
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD			SICONOLFI, ROBERT	
SUITE 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			3683	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 July 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are evithdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-882) 2) Notice of Pafesperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Robert A. Sitconolfi 3883		10/680,986	OWEN ET AL.				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Elementor for many be available under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.136b, in a event, however, may a reply be intelliged at the 15k (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In a cert provision in the mailing date of this communication. Fealure in replace 15k (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure in replace 15k (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure in replace 15k (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure in replace 15k (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure in replace 15k (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure in replace 15k (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure in replace 15k (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure in replace 15k (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure in replace 15k (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure in replace 15k (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure in replace 25k (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure in replace 25k (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure in replace 25k (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure in replace 25k (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure in replace 3k (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure in replace 3k (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure in replace 3k (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure in replace 3k (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure in replace 3k (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure in replace 3k (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure in replace 3k (b) MONTHS from the mailing d	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. and EX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, 1 138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly filled and the SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If 138(a) is no event, however, may a reply be limitly filled and the SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If 138(a) is not event, however, may a reply be limitly filled and the SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If 138(a) is not event, however, may a reply be limitly filled and the SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If 138(a) is not event, however, may a reply be limitly filled on 139 and 140 and		Robert A. Siconolfi	3683				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eatherisms of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.1960.) In or event, however, may a reply be limited after 5X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. FIND period time may be substituted of the communication of the commun							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \$\(\textit{Q5} \) \] This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under \$Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) \$\frac{19 \textit{And 11-26}}{2}\$ is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3] ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12] ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office acti	Status		•				
4) □ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) □ Other:	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	 ☑ This action is FINAL. ☑ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)	Disposition of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 200503.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-26</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 200503.	Application Papers						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 200503.	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 200503. 6) Other:	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 200503. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 200503. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 200503. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 200503.	Attachment(s)	o□	· (DTO 440)				
	 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 200503. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	te				

}

Application/Control Number: 10/680,986 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment filed on 7/5/05 has been received.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-9 and 11-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 99/54157 in view of Szukay et al (U. S. Patent no. 4,818,166).
 WO 99/54157 discloses a crimped collar. See figure 7 and pages 10 and 11 of the specification.

WO 99/54157 does not disclose crimping to form crimped areas at opposed locations. Szukay et al teaches attaching a ring to a shaft by crimping at opposite locations. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the collar crimped at 4 locations as taught by Szukay et al in the device of WO 99/54157 in order to secure the ring to the shaft in a more robust manner than with one crimp location.

Regarding claim 2, 4, 5, 9-11, and 20-22, WO 99/54157 is relied upon as above. WO 99/54157 does not disclose various design details claimed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the various

Application/Control Number: 10/680,986 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

design details claimed as such is merely a design choice. These details have a insignificant impact on the functioning of the device.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 7/5/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants claim that WO 99/54157 does not disclose pinched areas of any sort. This is clearly incorrect. 30a is clearly a pinched area formed by the crimping process. Applicants also argue that plastic deformation inward does not constitute crimping. The examiner disagrees. The applicant's arguments are more specific than the claim language.
- 5. In response to applicant's argument that a groove is needed the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

Szukay is relied upon to teach that crimping can be performed in such a way to have pinched areas on either side. The use of the groove is separate from the formation of the ring element. The groove, for instance, could be used with a ring with only one pinched area.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3683

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Siconolfi whose telephone number is 571-272-7124. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10 am-3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on (571) 272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,986 Page 5

Art Unit: 3683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert A. Siconolfi

Art Unit 3683